

Integrated use of cowdung and inorganic fertilizer on the performance of modern varieties of transplanted *aman* rice

U. Shaha, M.S.U. Bhuiya and S.K. Paul

Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202

E-mail: skpaul@gmail.com

Abstract: An experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh from June to December 2013 to study the effect of integrated use of cowdung and inorganic fertilizer on the performance of modern varieties of transplanted *Aman* rice viz. BR11, BRR1 dhan56 and BRR1 dhan57 and six levels of cowdung viz. 0, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5, and 15 t ha⁻¹ with BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Variety showed significant effect on yield contributing characters and grain yield. Significantly highest grain yield (6.11 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from BR11. The lowest grain yield (4.70 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from BRR1 dhan57. Cowdung at 7.5 t ha⁻¹ with BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers significantly produced highest grain yield (5.62 t ha⁻¹) and the plots receiving only inorganic fertilizers produced significantly lowest grain yield (5.07 t ha⁻¹). The effect of interaction between variety and fertilizer management showed significant variation on grain yield, although their effects on some crop characters and yield contributing characters were insignificant. The highest grain yield (6.25 t ha⁻¹) was produced by BR11 fertilized with cowdung at 7.5 t ha⁻¹ and recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers.

Key words: Variety, Fertilizer management, Cowdung, Grain yield.

Introduction

Depletion of soil fertility is a major constraint for higher crop production in Bangladesh. Most of the cultivated soils contain less than 1.5% organic matter and on the other hand addition of organic matter is very low. A suitable combination of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients is very essential for sustainable crop yield. Khan *et al.* (2007) concluded that integrated nutrient management not only provides good scope for increasing yield but also maintain better soil fertility status. Among the management practices, application of organic fertilizers such as cowdung is more profitable and economic than other inorganic fertilizers to avoid attack of insects, pests and diseases. Cowdung plays a key role in rice production and it required in larger amount compared to other inorganic fertilizers. Effects of cowdung in the vegetative growth, development and yield have been widely recognized, particularly after the release of modern varieties (BRR1, 1990). The long term research of Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRR1) reveals that the application of dung manure at 5 t ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ improved soil resource from degradation (Bhuiyan, 1994). The efficient organic fertilizer management can increase crop yield and reduce production cost. It is essential to determine the optimum organic fertilizer dose to maximize rice yield under those circumstances. Along with variety and climatic factors, improved cultural practices can play an important role in augmenting the yield of rice. Cultivation of modern HYV rice can open a considerable opportunity in increasing rice production in Bangladesh. Therefore,

yield performance of HYV rice must be studied in relation to various levels of cowdung with inorganic fertilizers. In intensive cropping system information regarding HYV rice and level of cowdung, integrated with inorganic fertilizers for transplanted *Aman* rice are meagre. So a suitable combination of variety and the rate of cowdung with inorganic fertilizers are necessary in order to obtain optimum yields. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to see the effects of cowdung with inorganic fertilizer on the performance of modern high yielding transplanted *Aman* rice.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, from June to December 2013 with a view to finding out the effect of integrated use of cowdung and inorganic fertilizers on the performance of modern varieties of transplanted *Aman* rice. The experimental site was medium high land belonging to Old Brahmaputra Floodplain (AEZ-9) (UNDP and FAO, 1988). The soil of the experimental field was more or less neutral in reaction having pH 6.5 and low in organic matter and fertility level. The experiment comprised three varieties viz. BR11 (V₁), BRR1 dhan56 (V₂) and BRR1 dhan57 (V₃) and six levels of cowdung viz. 0 t ha⁻¹ (F₁), 5 t ha⁻¹ (F₂), 7.5 t ha⁻¹ (F₃), 10 t ha⁻¹ (F₄), 12.5 t ha⁻¹ (F₅), and 15 t ha⁻¹ (F₆) with BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers (Table 1). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with four replications.

Table 1. BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers

Varieties	Urea (Kg ha ⁻¹)	TSP (Kg ha ⁻¹)	MoP (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Gypsum (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Zinc (Kg ha ⁻¹)
BR11	179.28	97.11	70.96	59.76	11.20
BRR1 dhan56	164.34	89.64	56.02	52.29	7.47
BRR1 dhan57	164.34	89.64	56.02	52.29	7.47

Source: BRR1 rice production training module, 2013

Except urea all fertilizers were applied during final land preparation. Urea was top dressed in three equal splits at 15, 30 and 45 days after transplanting (DAT). Intercultural operations such as gap filling, weeding and pest management were done as and when necessary. Two

modern varieties (BRR1 dhan56 and BRR1 dhan57) matured earlier than BR11 varieties. So the crop was harvested at maturity (when 90% grains become mature) on different dates from 15 November to 12 December 2013. The data on different agronomic characters were

recorded from the randomly selected five hills (excluding border hills and central 1 m X 1m) in each plot and those on grain and straw yields were recorded from the central 1 m² plot. All the data were analyzed using the “analysis of variance” technique and the mean differences were adjudged by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and Discussion

Varietal performance: Crop characters, yield and yield components were significantly influenced by variety (Table 2). The tallest plant (107.50 cm) found in BR11 followed by BRR1 dhan57 (106.32 cm) and the shortest one was found (100.93 cm) in BRR1 dhan56. Variation in plant height might be due to different genetic make-up. These results were in consistent to those of Khisha (2002) and Rahman (2003) who recorded variable plant height among varieties. BR11 produced the highest number of total tillers hill⁻¹ (10.69) and effective tillers hill⁻¹ (9.41) which were statistically identical with BRR1 dhan56 whereas the lowest values were recorded in BRR1 dhan57. This result agreed with the findings of Kabir *et al.* (2004). BR11 and BRR1 dhan57 produced longer panicle (23.27 cm) compared to BRR1 dhan56 (22.68 cm). Islam *et al.* (2010) reported that panicle length influenced with variety. The highest number of total spikelets panicle⁻¹ (137.12),

grains panicle⁻¹ (116.85) and sterile spikelets panicle⁻¹ (20.28) were found in BRR1 dhan57 compared to BRR1 dhan56 and BR 11. BRR1 (1991) reported that the number of spikelets panicle⁻¹ was influenced significantly due to varietal differences. Yesmin (2007) found significantly different number of grains panicle⁻¹ among the varieties. The highest 1000-grain weight (26.82g) was found in BR11 and the lowest one (17.97g) in BRR1 dhan57. The variation in weight of 1000 grains might be due to different sizes of grains that were partly controlled by genetic make-up of the studied varieties. Islam *et al.* (2010) also expressed similar view. BR11 produced the highest grain (6.11 tha⁻¹) followed by BRR1 dhan56 (5.03 tha⁻¹) and the lowest one (4.70 tha⁻¹) was produced in BRR1 dhan57. Grain yields differed due to varietal differences. Similar results were reported elsewhere (Sohel *et al.*, 2009 ; Tyeb *et al.*, 2013 ; Kirttania *et al.*, 2013 and Mondal *et al.*, 2013). The highest straw yield (6.38 tha⁻¹) and biological yield (12.49 tha⁻¹) were found in BR11 compared to other varieties. The highest harvest index (49.64 %) was found in BRR1 dhan56 followed by BR11 and the lowest one was recorded in BRR1 dhan57. Kabir *et al.* (2004) and Tyeb *et al.* (2013) reported that variety had significant influence on harvest index.

Table 2. Effect of variety on yield contributing characters and yield of transplanted *Aman* rice

Varieties	Plant height (cm)	Total tillers hill ⁻¹	Effective tillers hill ⁻¹	Length of panicle (cm)	No. of total spikelets panicle ⁻¹	No. of grains panicle ⁻¹	No. of sterile spikelets panicle ⁻¹	1000- grain weight (g)	Grain Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)
BR11	107.50a	10.69a	9.41a	23.27a	130.01b	113.59b	16.42c	26.82a	6.11a	6.38a	12.49a	48.92ab
BRR1 dhan56	100.93c	10.35a	9.14ab	22.68b	119.74c	100.83c	18.91b	22.63b	5.03b	5.10c	10.13b	49.64a
BRR1 dhan57	106.32b	9.02b	7.18b	23.27a	137.12a	116.85a	20.28a	17.97c	4.70c	5.40b	10.10b	46.56b
CV (%)	7.55	8.05	9.44	8.23	7.25	15.32	13.31	4.77	5.95	5.28	4.49	4.23
Level of sign.	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

In a column, figures having same letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per DMRT. **= Significant at 1% level of probability.

Table 3. Effect of fertilization on yield contributing characters and yield of transplanted *Aman* rice

Fertilizer Management	Plant height (cm)	Total tillers hill ⁻¹	Effective tillers hill ⁻¹	Length of panicle (cm)	No. of total spikelets panicle ⁻¹	No. of grains panicle ⁻¹	No. of sterile spikelets panicle ⁻¹	1000 -grain weight (g)	Grain Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)
F ₁	102.49b	9.64b	8.19b	22.81	124.65c	104.52d	20.13a	22.41	5.07c	5.50c	10.57b	47.95
F ₂	105.04ab	9.81b	8.30b	23.27	131.18ab	112.02abc	19.16a	22.50	5.44b	5.64b	11.10ab	49.18
F ₃	107.39a	10.93a	9.58a	22.77	131.58ab	117.86a	13.72b	22.43	5.62a	6.01a	11.63a	48.34
F ₄	105.77ab	10.17ab	8.85ab	23.19	132.21a	114.08ab	18.13ab	22.56	5.22c	5.51c	10.73a	48.62
F ₅	105.50ab	9.80b	8.25b	23.46	128.21bab	106.09cd	22.12a	22.49	5.20c	5.51c	10.71a	48.57
F ₆	103.30b	9.78b	8.26b	22.95	125.92bc	107.97bcd	17.96ab	22.46	5.12c	5.35c	10.47b	48.85
CV (%)	7.55	8.05	9.44	8.23	7.25	15.32	13.31	4.77	5.95	5.28	4.49	4.23
Level of sign.	**	*	**	NS	**	**	*	NS	**	**	**	NS

In a column, figures having same letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per DMRT. NS= Non significant, **= Significant at 1% level of probability, *= Significant at 5% level of probability. F₁= BRR1 Recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers (N, P, K, S and Zn), F₂= 5 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₃= 7.5 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₄= 10 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₅= 12.5 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₆= 15 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers.

Effect of fertilizer management: Crop characters, yield and yield components were affected by fertilizer management. The tallest plant (107.39 cm) was found with 7.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung and BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers which was statistically identical with cowdung 5 tha⁻¹, 10 tha⁻¹ and 12.5 tha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers. The lowest plant height (102.49 cm) was obtained from only BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers. It was found that cowdung can supply

a good amount of plant nutrients and thus can contribute to plant growth (Saha *et al.*, 2007). The highest number of total tillers hill⁻¹ (10.93) and effective tillers hill⁻¹ (9.58) was obtained from the application of 7.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung with inorganic fertilizers which was statistically identical with 10 tha⁻¹ cowdung and inorganic fertilizers and the lowest value was recorded in only BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers. The highest number of total spikelets

panicle⁻¹ (132.21) found in 10 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers while the highest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (117.86) was found in 7.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers. The lowest number of total spikelets (124.65) and grains (104.52) panicle⁻¹ were found in only BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers. The highest number of sterile spikelets panicle⁻¹ (22.12) was obtained from application of cowdung 12.5 ha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers and lowest (13.72) from the application of cowdung 7.5 tha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers. The highest grain yield (5.62 tha⁻¹) was produced by cowdung 7.5 t ha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers and the lowest grain yield (5.07 tha⁻¹) was recorded from control

treatment (no use of cowdung). Application of cowdung encouraged the vegetative growth in terms of plant height and number of tillers hill⁻¹ which ultimately resulted in the increase of grain yield (Mishra *et al.*, 2003). The highest straw yield (6.01 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from cowdung 7.5 t ha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers and the lowest (5.35 t ha⁻¹) from control treatment. The highest biological yield (11.63 tha⁻¹) was recorded when the cowdung rate was 7.5 tha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers which was statistically identical with 10 tha⁻¹ and 12.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung with inorganic fertilizers. The lowest biological yield (10.47 tha⁻¹) was found in 15 ton cowdung ha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers which was statistically identical with control treatment (no use of cowdung) (Table 3).

Table 4. Effect of interaction between variety and fertilization on yield contributing characters and yield of transplanted Aman rice

Interaction on (Variety × Fertilizer Management)	Plant height (cm)	No. of total tillers hill ⁻¹	No. of effective tillers hill ⁻¹	Length of panicle (cm)	Total spikelets panicle ⁻¹	No. of grains panicle ⁻¹	No. of sterile spikelets panicle ⁻¹	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)
V ₁ × F ₁	105.68	9.55	8.68	23.00	117.81cd	99.73fg	18.08	26.40	5.88b	6.27ab	12.15c	48.39
V ₁ × F ₂	107.60	10.95	9.65	23.20	136.42ab	121.37abc	15.04	27.01	6.24a	6.48ab	12.72ab	49.08
V ₁ × F ₃	110.50	11.55	10.41	23.31	139.21a	127.09a	12.12	26.92	6.25a	6.57a	12.82a	48.74
V ₁ × F ₄	109.30	11.10	9.45	23.43	139.82a	122.38ab	17.44	27.10	6.02ab	6.22ab	12.24bc	49.24
V ₁ × F ₅	107.70	10.69	9.35	23.35	127.78bc	110.15c-f	17.63	26.78	6.18a	6.62a	12.80a	48.30
V ₁ × F ₆	105.85	10.55	8.90	23.36	119.06cd	100.82efg	18.24	26.71	6.08ab	6.14b	12.22bc	49.78
V ₂ × F ₁	96.35	9.18	7.98	22.26	115.98d	104.31efg	18.22	22.64	4.92def	4.95efg	9.87f	49.84
V ₂ × F ₂	101.53	10.88	9.63	22.62	117.59cd	96.10g	21.49	22.27	5.12de	4.84g	9.96f	51.39
V ₂ × F ₃	102.83	11.30	10.35	22.01	121.48cd	107.44d-g	14.04	22.59	5.47c	5.36cde	10.83de	50.51
V ₂ × F ₄	99.25	10.86	9.70	22.95	122.53cd	101.95efg	14.03	22.81	5.07def	4.92fg	9.99d	50.79
V ₂ × F ₅	104.00	10.00	8.75	23.77	123.07cd	96.02g	27.05	22.80	4.82efg	4.99efg	9.81d	49.18
V ₂ × F ₆	101.65	9.63	8.41	22.48	117.80cd	99.19fg	18.62	22.68	4.80fgh	4.82g	9.62d	49.92
V ₃ × F ₁	105.45	8.48	6.50	23.16	133.62ab	109.53c-f	24.09	18.03	4.42i	5.28c-f	9.70d	45.63
V ₃ × F ₂	106.00	9.20	7.45	24.01	139.53a	118.58a-d	20.95	18.24	4.97def	5.61c	10.58e	47.06
V ₃ × F ₃	108.85	9.95	8.00	23.00	134.05ab	119.07a-d	14.99	17.77	5.16d	6.11b	11.27d	45.77
V ₃ × F ₄	108.75	9.10	7.40	23.19	140.84a	117.91a-d	22.93	17.77	4.58ghi	5.41cd	9.99f	45.84
V ₃ × F ₅	104.80	8.70	6.60	23.26	133.79ab	112.10b-e	21.69	18.05	4.59ghi	4.92fg	9.51f	48.22
V ₃ × F ₆	102.40	8.67	6.50	23.01	140.90a	123.89ab	17.01	17.99	4.49hi	5.09d-g	9.56f	46.85
CV (%)	7.55	8.05	9.44	8.23	7.25	15.32	13.31	4.77	5.95	5.28	4.49	4.23
Level of sign.	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**			*	**	**	**

In a column, figures having same letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per DMRT. NS= Not significant, **= Significant at 1% level of probability, *= Significant at 5% level of probability. V₁= BR11, V₂= BRR1 dhan56, V₃= BRR1 dhan57, F₁= BRR1 Recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers (N, P, K, S and Zn), F₂= 5 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₃= 7.5 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₄= 10 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₅= 12.5 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, F₆= 15 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers.

Interaction effect: Number of total spikelets panicle⁻¹, grains panicle⁻¹, grain yield and straw yields were significantly influenced by various interactions. The highest number of total spikelets panicle⁻¹ (140.90) was obtained from BRR1 dhan57 fertilized with 15 tha⁻¹ cowdung with inorganic fertilizers which was statistically similar with others combinations BR11 and 7.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, BR11 and 10 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, BRR1 dhan57 and 5 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers and also BRR1 dhan57 and 10 t ha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers (Table 4). The lowest number of total spikelets panicle⁻¹ (115.98) was obtained from BRR1 dhan56 and only use of inorganic fertilizers. BR11 produced the highest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (127.09) when fertilized with cowdung 7.5 tha⁻¹ and recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers on the other hand BRR1 dhan56 produced the lowest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (96.02) when fertilized with 12.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung and recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers. The highest grain yield

(6.25 tha⁻¹) was obtained from BR11 when fertilized with cowdung 7.5 tha⁻¹ and recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers which was as good as BR11 with 5 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, BR11 with 10 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers, BR11 with 12.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers and BR11 with 15 tha⁻¹ cowdung + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers. The lowest grain yield (4.42 tha⁻¹) was obtained from the combination of variety BRR1 dhan57 and application of no cowdung with inorganic fertilizers. The highest straw yield (6.62 tha⁻¹) was obtained from the interaction of BR11 and application of 12.5 tha⁻¹ cowdung with inorganic fertilizers and the lowest one (4.82 tha⁻¹) was found in BRR1 dhan56 with control. The highest biological yield (12.82 t ha⁻¹) was found in BR11 fertilized with cowdung 7.5 tha⁻¹ + BRR1 recommended doses of inorganic fertilizers which was statistically alike with BR11 fertilized with cowdung 12.5 t ha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers. The lowest biological yield (9.51 tha⁻¹) was recorded in the combination of variety

BRR1 dhan57 and application of cowdung 12.5 t ha⁻¹ with inorganic fertilizers.

Integrated use of cowdung with inorganic fertilizers can be an efficient practice for higher crop yield without degradation of soil fertility. BR11 performed the best for grain yield among the tested varieties. Cowdung 7.5 t ha⁻¹ supplemented with inorganic fertilizers appeared as promising fertilizer management technique in terms of grain yield.

References

- Bhuiyan, N. I. 1994. Crop production trends and need of sustainability in Agriculture. Paper presented at the workshop, Integrated Nutrient Management for Sustainable Agriculture, Held at Dhaka, Bangladesh, June-26-28, 1994.
- BRR1 (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). 1990. Annual Report for 1988. Bangladesh Rice Res. Inst., Joydebpur, Gazipur. pp. 08-14.
- BRR1 (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). 1991. Annual Report for 75-76. Bangladesh Rice Res. Inst., Joydebpur, Gazipur. pp. 3-5.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Edn. A Wiley Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, New York. pp. 207-215.
- Islam, S. S., Amin, M. H. A., Parvin, S., Amanullah, A. S. M. and Ahsanullah, A. S. M. 2010. Effect of pre- and post emergence herbicides on the yield of transplant aman rice. B.D Res. Pub. J. 3(4): 1242-1252.
- Kabir, M. H., Rahaman, M. S. and Hossain, S. M. A. 2004. Effect of variety on growth and yield of aman rice. Bangladesh J. Crop Sci. 12 (1&2): 139-144.
- Khan, M. U., Qasim, M. and Khan, I. U. 2007. Effect of integrated nutrient management on crop yields in rice-wheat cropping system. Sarhad J. Agric. 23(4): 1019-1026.
- Khisha, K. 2002. An evaluation of Madagascar system of rice production in *aman* season with three potential rice varieties. M.S. Thesis, Dept. Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh. p. 86.
- Kirtania, B., Sarkar, M.A.R. and Paul, S.K. 2013. Performance of transplant Aman rice as influenced by tiller seedlings and nitrogen management. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University 11(2): 249-256.
- Mishra, O. T., Tomar, V. S., Shamar, R. A. and Rajput, A. M. 2003. Response of Rice to organic and inorganic fertilizers. Crop Research 9(2): 233-237.
- Mondal, B., Sarkar, M. A. R., Paul, S. K. and Zaman, F. 2013. Effect of variety and weeding on the yield components and yield of *aus* rice. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University 11(1): 17-31.
- Rahman, M. A. 2003. Effect of levels of urea super granules and depth of placement on the growth and yield of transplant aman rice. M. S. Thesis, Dept. Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh. p. 100.
- Saha, P. K., Ishaque, M., Saleque, M. A., Miah, M. A. M., Panauallah, G. M. and Bhuiyan, N. I. 2007. Long-term integrated nutrient management for rice-based cropping pattern: effect on growth, yield, nutrient uptake, nutrient balance sheet, and soil fertility. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Analysis. 38(5/6): 579-610.
- Sohel, M. A. T., Siddique, M. A. B., Alam, M. N. and Karim, M. M. 2009. Varietal performance of transplant aman rice under different hill densities. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 34 (1): 33-39.
- Tyeb A., Samad, M. A. and Paul, S. K. 2013. Growth of transplanted *aman* rice as affected by variety and spacing. Bangladesh Journal of Environmental Science, 24:103-108.
- Yesmin, N. 2007. Effect of doses of mixed fertilizer on the growth and yield of fine rice. M. S. Thesis, Dept. Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh. p. 91.
- UNDP and FAO, 1988. Land Resource Appraisal of Bangladesh for Agricultural Development Report 2. Agro-ecological Regions of Bangladesh. United Nation Develt. Prog. Food and Agric. Org. pp.121-122.